

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/592,751	06/13/2000	Nick Kalageros	60.130-709	5781
26096	7590 01/31/2003			
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			EXAMINER	
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350			CARPENTEI	R, SCOTT A
BIRMINGHA	M, MI 48009		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3612	
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\wedge				
Advisory Action	09/592,751	KALAGEROS ET AL					
^A	Examiner	Art Unit	1				
Ÿ	Scott A. Carpenter	3612	1				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 10 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	abandonment of this application. timely filed amendment which place	A proper reply to a ces the application in					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sl (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office la filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136 (insion and the corresponding amount of the corrend statutory period for reply originall ter than three months after the mailing date	e of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So a) and the appropriate exter fee. The appropriate exter y set in the final Office act	ee MPEP nsion asion ion; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.	.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) L they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a sepa	rate, timely filed ame	ndment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	se it is not directed SOLELY to iss	ues which were newly	y				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a explanation of how the new or amended claims would			d an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examiner	f.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:			1/2/8/03				
		A m					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasive. the claims - given their broadest reasonable interpretation (as opposed to applicant's intended meaning of the claims) - do not structurally distinguish the instant invention over the prior art.

D. GLENN DAYOAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600